

In the original published agenda (Appendix 1 Consultation Report, Page 24 of the Agenda Question 5 (Q5), a table of data relating to pedestrians was duplicated in Appendix 1 and a table of data relating to cycling was omitted. The revised document has now been corrected and includes separate tables showing cycling and pedestrian results.

Q5 Do you have any other comments about these [cycling] proposals?

The following table shows where similar comments have been made more than ten times in an 'open comments' box:

Q5 Comments on cycling-related proposals	Residents	Businesses	Interest Group	Other	Total
Cycle lanes should be complete / continuous / segregated / should not cross the pedestrian space north of the Art Deco shelters	85	5	2	21	106
Want a safe cycle route across the Palace Pier junction / need the north-south and east-west lanes to connect properly	52	3	3	15	62
Cyclists don't obey the highway code / they cycle on pavements / need cycle training / cycle calming for the less experienced	36	2	0	12	53
Against the cycling proposals / not a priority / already too much provision for cyclists	37	3	0	17	47
Cycle route should be away from traffic and buses / raised and separate	30	3	0	15	39
It is currently dangerous to cycle	22	2	1	9	25
Art Deco shelters should be used as cycle parking / storage / cycle maintenance	20	2	2	11	24
In favour of the cycling proposals	13	0	0	7	21
Need more secure cycle parking	12	3	1	6	16

Q5 Comments on cycling-related proposals	Residents	Businesses	Interest Group	Other	Total
Cycle route should be on the West side rather than the East	10	1	0	9	16